

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

FILING DATE FIRST NAMED INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. APPLICATION NO. CONFIRMATION NO. 10/005,567 12/05/2001 Matthew R. Hyre 5352-05 7992 09/25/2003 Emhart Glass Manufacturing Inc. EXAMINER 89 Phoenix Avenue LOPEZ, CARLOS N P.O. Box 1229 Enfield, CT 06082 ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER 1731

DATE MAILED: 09/25/2003

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Office Action Summary	10/005,567	HYRE ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Carlos Lopez	1731
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply		
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status		
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on		
	is action is non-final.	
3) Since this application is in condition for allow		matters, prosecution as to the merits is
closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims		
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-6</u> is/are pending in the application.		
4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>6</u> is/are withdrawn from consideration.		
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.		
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-3</u> is/are rejected.		
7)⊠ Claim(s) <u>4-6</u> is/are objected to.		
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers		
9)⊠ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.		
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>12/05/01</u> is/are: a)□ accepted or b)⊠ objected to by the Examiner.		
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).		
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.		
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.		
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120		
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).		
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:		
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.		
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No		
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 		
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).		
 a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. 		
Attachment(s)		
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) Notice	ew Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)
U.S. Patent and Trademark Office		

PTOL-326 (Rev. 04-01)

Application/Control Number: 10/005,567

Art Unit: 1731

DETAILED ACTION

Drawings

The drawings are objected to as failing to comply with 37 CFR 1.84(p)(4) because reference characters "90", "92" and "102" have both been used to designate bearings and drive member. A proposed drawing correction or corrected drawings are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

Specification

The specification is objected to as failing to provide proper antecedent basis for the claimed subject matter. See 37 CFR 1.75(d)(1) and MPEP § 608.01(o). Correction of the following is required: "profiled actuator" recited in claim 1.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claim 3 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. The term "the cooling requirements" lacks antecedent basis.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Application/Control Number: 10/005,567

Art Unit: 1731

Claims 1-3 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Rodriguez-Wong et al US 5,807,419 ('419) in view of Virog Jr. US 4,348,167 ('167). Rodriguez-Wong discloses a glass-forming machine in order to shape a glass parison in a blowing mold (Abstract). The claimed "a blow head assembly" is '419 element 50. The claimed "support means for supporting said blow head assembly" is deemed as lock 52 of Rodriguez-Wong disclosure. The "first displacement means for displacing said support means to displace said blow head assembly between a remote up position and an advanced down position" is shown by '419 as piston element 56. The claimed blow tube displaceable between an up and down position is shown by Rodriguez-Wong as element 30. The second displacement means for displacing said blow tube from the up position down to the down position is deemed as '419's cylinder piston assembly 20. Rodriguez-Wong is silent suggesting the displacement means having a profiled actuator. However, in pages 188-189, Crowder teaches "In drive systems, there have been an almost complete shift towards the use of digital rather than analog systems; this results in systems with a number of significant benefits." Among the benefits of using a digital drive is "the use of low-cost microprocessors", "digital control provides a highly flexible system", and "due to digital nature of the controller there will be no component variation". In view that digital drives systems are preferred over analog systems such as cylinder piston assembly disclosed by Rodriguez-Wong, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have chosen a digital drive (which includes a servomotor) as the means for displacing the blow tube because it provides a low cost, a highly flexible system, and there is no component variation as taught by Crowder.

Application/Control Number: 10/005,567

Art Unit: 1731

Additionally, cylinder piston assembly 20 of Rodriguez-Wong is deemed as profiled since the blow tube is only actuated at specific times of the blow molding operation.

Additionally, the "off" position is deemed as when the I.S. machine is turned off, not in operation, and is at the "on" position when in operation where there would be a plurality of times where the blow mold is moved up and down many times.

In regards to claim 3, the blow tube is displaced in and out of the mold in order to cool

In regards to claim 3, the blow tube is displaced in and out of the mold in order to coo the mold.

Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 4-6 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter:

The primary reason for indicating allowable subject matter is that the profile actuator includes a "displacement profile which will displace the blow tube from the up position to the location where the upper neck portion meets the lower body portion at an average velocity higher than the average velocity at which the blow tube will be displaced from the location where the upper neck portion meets the lower body portion to the bottom of the blown parison" as recited in claims 4-6.

Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. References B-L have been cited in PTO-892 to show the state of the art.

Page 5

Application/Control Number: 10/005,567

Art Unit: 1731

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Carlos Lopez whose telephone number is (703) 605-1174. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon.-Fri. 8am - 5pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Steven Griffin can be reached on (703) 308-1164. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9306.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0661.

STEVEN P. GRIFFIN SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 1700

C.L